

Yes, President Trump Won: The Case, Evidence, & Statistical Receipts

**Volume Three of the Navarro Report** 

#### Introduction

Volume 1 of the Navarro Report, *The Immaculate Deception*, assessed the fairness and integrity of the 2020 Presidential Election by identifying and assessing six key dimensions of alleged election irregularities. These irregularities included: outright fraud, ballot mishandling, a wide range of process fouls, multiple violations of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment's Equal Protection Clause, voting machine irregularities, and statistical anomalies.

This assessment was conducted across six key battleground states – Arizona, Georgia, Michigan, Nevada, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin. It would be the outcomes in these six states that would ultimately be election-determinate – just as the strategy of the Democrat Party assumed.

Evidence used to conduct *The Immaculate Deception* assessment included more than 50 lawsuits and judicial rulings, thousands of affidavits and declarations, testimony in a variety of state venues, published analyses by think tanks and legal centers, videos and photos, public comments, and extensive press coverage. Three key findings of the report include: (1) Six types of election irregularities were present and pervasive in most or all of the battleground states; (2) Each battleground state differed in its own way with respect to the types of irregularities that were election-determinate; (3) There was no single "silver bullet" that allegedly won the election for Biden; instead it was "death by a thousand irregularities" in any given battleground state; and, most importantly with respect to the question as to whether the election may well have been stolen, (4) the narrow alleged Biden "victory" margins in each of the six battleground states were dwarfed by the number of potentially illegal ballots.

Volume 2 of the Navarro Report, *The Art of the Steal*, examined the institutional genesis of the six types of election irregularities. One key finding: The Democrat Party efforts to strategically game the election process across the six battleground states began years before, and in many cases, shortly after President Trump was elected in 2016. A second key finding: the Democrat's This gaming of the election process was implemented through a <u>two-pronged</u> Grand "Stuff the Ballot Box" Strategy designed to flood the six key battleground states with enough un-scrutinized and potentially illegal absentee and mail-in ballots to turn a decisive Trump victory into a narrow alleged Biden "win."

Prong One of the Democrat strategy dramatically INCREASED the amount of absentee and mailin ballots. Prong Two dramatically DECREASED the level of scrutiny of such ballots. This resulted in a FLOOD of potentially illegal ballots into the battleground states more than sufficient to tip the scales from a decisive legal win by President Trump to a narrow and potentially illegitimate alleged "victory" by Joe Biden.

Importantly, much of what the Democrat Party and its operatives did to effectively achieve what may well be an illegal result was pursued through a variety of legal means. Nonetheless, at times, Democrat government officials also bent, and at times, broke the laws or rules of their state.

Volume 3 of the Navarro Report is designed to serve as a capstone to what has been a comprehensive analysis of the question: Was the 2020 presidential election stolen from Donald J. Trump? In this report, we provide the most up-to-date statistical "receipts" with respect to the potential number of illegal votes in each battleground state.

The broader goal of this final installment of the Navarro Report is to provide investigators with a well-documented tally of potentially illegal votes on a state-by-state and category-by-category basis. This tally is presented in *Figure One* on the next page of this report. Note that each number in this figure has a corresponding endnote identifying the source of the number. Note further that we have taken a conservative approach to the count of potentially illegal ballots.

As with previous volumes of the Navarro Report, you can see clearly in *Figure One* that the number of potentially illegal votes dwarfs the very thin alleged Biden "victory" margins. In the face of this evidence, no reasonable person would conclude that the 2020 presidential election was, beyond any shadow of doubt, a fair election. Rather, anyone who reads this report should feel compelled to seek greater clarity about whether, in fact, this election may have been stolen from Donald J. Trump.

While it is now politically correct in progressive circles and the mainstream media to demand that all Americans submit and confess to the "truth" of what may well be the fiction of a free and fair election for the sake of "unity" and "harmony," such a Kafkaesque demand in the face of the evidence in this report will likely have the opposite effect.

To wit: almost half the country now believes that there were significant irregularities in the 2020 presidential race; and the failure to fully investigate these irregularities will only increase the number of Americans who have such doubts. This will be particularly true if the suppression of what necessarily must be a search for truth is facilitated by the authoritarian – nay fascist – behavior of a small group of social media oligarchs who have taken it upon themselves to de-platform and censor tens of millions of pro-Trump Americans who now find themselves victims, rather than consumers, of platforms like Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube.

In considering these truths, let us never forget two things: (1) The Democrat Party and its operatives stole the 1960 Presidential Election – it happened then and it can happen again; and (2) it took decades for historians to finally acknowledge the 1960 version of the Immaculate Deception in the face of the same kind of virtue-signaling and cancel culture pressures we are witnessing today.

Despite a similar quest to silence Republican and conservative voices today, 74 million Americans who voted for President Donald J. Trump have the right to a full investigation and bipartisan search for truth. If, however, the Democrat Party, RINO elements of the Republican Party, the anti-Trump mainstream media, and the out-of-control censoring social media oligarchs do not cease and desist from their efforts to suppress the search for truth about the 2020 election, history will judge all of these people, corporations, and institutions in the harshest possible manner.

At this point, we have moved dangerously in what seems like a nanosecond from a full and vibrant American Democracy to a Communist Chinese-style, Cancel Culture, Police State guarded by a collusive social media oligopoly that is beyond out of control.

In the remainder of this report, we will simply present the statistical "receipts" on a state-by-state and subcategory-by-subcategory basis what may well be the worst theft in American political history. If the U.S. Congress and State Legislatures across the six battleground states ignore this evidence, they will do so not just at their own peril but also at the peril of America's faith in our elections and the sanctity of our Republic.

Vote Irregularities and Illegalities by Category and State					State	
_	ARIZONA	GEORGIA	MICHIGAN	NEVADA	PENNSYLVANIA	WISCONSIN
Absentee ballots cast without statutorily required application						170,140
Absentee ballots cast that arrived after Election Day					10,000	
Absentee ballots cast that were requested before & after statutory deadline		305,701				
Absentee ballots cast from addresses other than where voters legally reside	19,997			15,000	14,328	
Absentee ballots cast that were returned on or before the postmark date	22,903				58,221	
Absentee ballots cast without a postmark					9,005	
Absentee ballots requested under the name of a registered voter without consent			27,825			
Dead voters		10,315	482	1,506	8,021	
Double voters: In-state	157	395		42,284	742	234
Felon voters		2,560				
Ghost voters	5,790	15,700				
Illegal ballot harvesting						17,271
Indefinitely confined voter abuses						216,000
Juvenile voters (< 18 years old)		66,247				
Mail-in ballots cast by voters registered after the registration deadline	150,000					
No address on file for voter	2,000	1,043	35,109	8,000		
Non-citizen voters	36,473			4,000		
No corresponding voter registration numbers			174,384			
Non-registered voters(not on voter rolls)		2,423				
Out-of-state voters who voted in-state	5,726	20,312	13,248	19,218	7,426	6,848
Over-votes	11,676				202,377	
Poll watcher & poll observer abuses					680,774	
Signature matching errors				130,000		
Voting machine irregularities (fake/manufactured ballots & spikes)		136,155	195,755			143,379
Voters over 100 years old					1,573	
Voters who vote in the wrong county		40,279				
Possible Illegal Votes	254,722	601,130	446,803	220,008	992,467	553,872
Biden "Victory" Margin	10,457	11,779	154,818	33,596	81,660	20,682

#### The Arizona Battleground

*Figure Two* tabulates by category a total of 254,722 potentially illegal votes. This number constitutes roughly 24 times the alleged Biden victory margin of 10,457 votes. By far the largest category is 150,000 mail-in ballots cast by voters registered after the registration deadline. Another 22,903 absentee ballots were on record as having been returned on or before the postmark date, which is highly unlikely.<sup>1</sup>

Figure Two

Vote Irregularities and Illegalities		
CATEGORY	ARIZONA	
Absentee ballots cast from addresses other than where voters legally reside	19,997	
Absentee ballots cast that were returned on or before the postmark date	22,903	
Double voters: In-state	157	
Ghost voters	5,790	
Mail-in ballots cast by voters registered after the registration deadline	150,000	
No address on file for voter	2,000	
Non-citizen voters	36,473	
Out-of-state voters who voted in-state	5,726	
Over-votes	11,676	
Possible Illegal Votes	254,722	
Biden "Victory" Margin	10,457	
Margin of Error > Margin of Victory	24x	

In reviewing the statistics in *Figure Two*, it is worth noting that Arizona had statistically improbable high voter turnouts in Maricopa and Pima counties; widespread ballot mishandling; and 1.6 million mail-in ballots (which disproportionately leaned towards Biden)<sup>2</sup> which were subjected to much lower standards of certification and ID verification than in-person votes (which leaned toward Trump).<sup>3</sup>

The Copper State also accomplished the remarkable feat of exceeding 100% turnout of its registered voters. This is indeed a remarkable feat because Arizona does not allow same-day voter registration. The "over-votes" alone totaled 11,676, an amount more than the purported Biden "victory" margin of 10,457.<sup>4</sup>

From the figure, it should also be clear that Arizona boasts a litany of other election irregularities. For example, a total of 19,997 persons voted where they did not reside<sup>5</sup> while 2,000 voters did not have an address at all.<sup>6</sup> 5,790 voters moved out of state or registered to vote in another state,<sup>7</sup> 5,726

out-of-state residents voted in Arizona,  $^8$  and 36,473 individuals voted without providing evidence of citizenship.  $^9$ 

## The Georgia Battleground

*Figure Three* tabulates by category a total of 601,130 potentially illegal votes in Georgia. This is more than 50 times the alleged Biden victory margin of 11,779 votes.

## Figure Three

Vote Irregularities and Illegalities		
CATEGORY	GEORGIA	
Absentee ballots cast that were requested before & after statutory deadline	305,701	
Dead voters	10,315	
Double voters: In-state	395	
Felon voters	2,560	
Ghost voters	15,700	
Juvenile voters (< 18 years old)	66,247	
No address on file for voter	1,043	
Non-registered voters (not on voter rolls)	2,423	
Out-of-state voters who voted in-state	20,312	
Voting machine irregularities (fake/manufactured ballots & spikes)	136,155	
Voters who vote in the wrong county	40,279	
Possible Illegal Votes	601,130	
Biden "Victory" Margin	11,779	
Margin of Error > Margin of Victory	51x	

From the figure, we see that the largest category of potentially illegal votes is that of absentee ballots cast requested before or after the statutory deadline. In the state of Georgia, voters have 180 days prior to Election Day to request absentee ballots, according to state law. <sup>10</sup> However, during the 2020 Presidential Election, Georgia officials counted over 305,700 ballots cast by individuals who had requested absentee ballots more than 180 days before the absentee ballot request deadline, in blatant violation of Georgia Election Code. <sup>11</sup>

From the figure, we also see that 10,000 ballots of deceased individuals were counted. <sup>12</sup> 15,700 votes were counted from "ghost" voters, that is, voters who requested and submitted ballots under the names of voters who no longer reside at a particular address. <sup>13</sup> Similarly perplexing is that over 1,000 voters without an address cast ballots. <sup>14</sup>

Voting machines –which Georgia election officials were in an inexplicable rush to install leading up to the 2020 election <sup>15</sup> – likewise may account for a substantial number potentially illegal ballots. <sup>16</sup> There were also over 40,000 cases of voters voting in counties in which they did not legally reside, as well as over 66,000 <sup>17</sup> voters who had successfully cast ballots even though they were under the legal voting age of 18.

### The Michigan Battleground

*Figure Four* tabulates by category a total of 446,803 potentially illegal votes in Michigan. This is almost three times the alleged Biden victory margin of 154,818 votes.

Figure Four

Vote Irregularities and Illegalities		
CATEGORY	MICHIGAN	
Absentee ballots requested under the name of a registered voter without consent	27,825	
Dead voters	482	
No address on file for voter	35,109	
No corresponding voter registration numbers	174,384	
Out-of-state voters who voted in-state	13,248	
Voting machine irregularities (fake/manufactured ballots & spikes)	195,755	
Possible Illegal Votes	446,803	
Biden "Victory" Margin	154,818	
Margin of Error > Margin of Victory	2.8x	

The largest amount of ballots in question in Michigan stems from inexplicable vote tabulation surges along with alleged voting machine irregularities <sup>18</sup> and ballots counted despite lacking voter-registration numbers.

There were also two major questionable "Biden vote spikes" in the early hours of November 4<sup>th</sup>. At 3:50 AM EST, Michigan added 54,497 additional ballots cast for Joe Biden and just 4,718 votes cast for President Trump. At 6:31 AM EST, an update showed an additional 141,258 votes cast for Biden, while President Trump received just 5,968 additional ballots. <sup>19</sup>

Additionally, it is illegal in Michigan to count absentee ballots without having corresponding voter registration numbers for corresponding precincts, according to state law. Despite this, election officials allowed over 174,000 of these ballots to be counted anyway. <sup>20</sup>

Michigan also processed ballots of over 35,000 voters without addresses on state records, <sup>21</sup> at least over 480 confirmed dead voters, <sup>22</sup> and over 13,200 voters registered to vote in other states—in blatant violation of state election law. <sup>23</sup> Lastly, over 27,800 ballots were requested under the name of a registered voter without their knowledge and/or consent. <sup>24</sup>

### The Nevada Battleground

*Figure Five* tabulates by category a total of 220,008 potentially illegal votes in Nevada. This is roughly six times the alleged Biden victory margin of 33,596 votes.

Figure Five

Vote Irregularities and Illegalities		
CATEGORY	NEVADA	
Absentee ballots cast from addresses other than where voters legally reside	15,000	
Dead voters	1,506	
Double voters: In-state	42,284	
No address on file for voter	8,000	
Non-citizen voters	4,000	
Out-of-state voters who voted in-state	19,218	
Signature matching errors	130,000	
Possible Illegal Votes	220,008	
Biden "Victory" Margin	33,596	
Margin of Error > Margin of Victory	6х	

Nevada's largest irregularities stemmed from the use of the Agilis signature-matching machines installed in Clark County to verify signatures on ballots. Using machines instead of people for signature match verification is in blatant violation of state law and calls into question the 130,000 ballots verified by these Agilis machines.<sup>25</sup>

The Agilis machines were also alleged to have not been operated "in conformance with the manufacturer's recommendations" on Election Day. First, the images on file used by the Agilis machine to compare to the signatures on the outside of the mail-in ballots were of lower image quality than "suggested by the manufacturer" for the machine to operate properly, and the machine was altered or adjusted by election officials to a setting "lower than the manufacturer's recommendations," making the machine unreliable. <sup>26</sup>

Nevada also registered 42,284 double voters,<sup>27</sup> ascertained by reviewing the list of voters and comparing voters with the same name, address, and date of birth—a method shown in peer

reviewed papers to have over 99% accuracy. <sup>28</sup> That category alone exceeds the alleged Biden victory margin of 33,596 votes.

In addition, 19,218 out-of-state voters cast ballots in Nevada. This was ascertained by lining up voter lists from all counties against publicly available USPS records on permanent change of addresses with other states and correcting for military and student voters.<sup>29</sup>

Finally, 1,506 votes were cast in the name of deceased persons—verified by comparing mail voters with social security death records. Over 8,000 ballots were cast by persons without addresses—found by referencing voters with the Coding Accuracy Support System and finding undeliverable addresses. 4,000 non-U.S. citizens also appear to have voted—found by comparing non-citizen DMV records to the list of voters. DMV records to the list of voters.

## The Pennsylvania Battleground

Figure Six tabulates by category a total of almost a million potentially illegal votes in Pennsylvania. This is roughly twelve times the alleged Biden victory margin of 81,660 votes.

Figure Six

Vote Irregularities and Illegalities		
CATEGORY	PENNSYLVANIA	
Absentee ballots cast that arrived after Election Day	10,000	
Absentee ballots cast from addresses other than where voters legally reside	14,328	
Absentee ballots cast that were returned on or before the postmark date	58,221	
Absentee ballots cast without a postmark	9,005	
Dead voters	8,021	
Double voters: In-state	742	
Out-of-state voters who voted in-state	7,426	
Over-votes	202,377	
Poll watcher & poll observer abuses	680,774	
Voters over 100 years old	1,573	
Possible Illegal Votes	992,467	
Biden "Victory" Margin	81,660	
Margin of Error > Margin of Victory	<b>12</b> x	

By far the largest category of potentially illegal ballots – over 680,000 – is associated with poll observer abuses.<sup>33</sup> Certified Republican poll observers were kept at distances the length of a football field.<sup>34</sup> They were prevented from accessing back rooms where tens of thousands of ballots were being processed, and they were rounded up into restricted areas when trying to fulfill their legal duty to observe the ballot counting process.<sup>35</sup> Without meaningful observation of the ballot counting process, it is impossible to verify the legality of absentee and mail-in ballots.

State Representative Frank Ryan, along with several other members of the State Legislature, found that over 202,000 more ballots were cast than actual registered voters in the state.<sup>36</sup> In addition, there were 58,221 absentee ballots counted that were returned on or before the postmarked date on the envelope.<sup>37</sup> 9,005 additional ballots were counted without a postmark on the envelope,<sup>38</sup> in clear violation of state election law.

There were also over 14,300 absentee ballots cast from addresses in which registered voters did not legally reside, <sup>39</sup> over 7,400 registered voters from other states <sup>40</sup> that successfully cast ballots in the state of Pennsylvania, over 8,000 likely dead voters <sup>41</sup> according to an analysis of state records and publicly available obituaries, and over 1,500 suspect votes in the names of persons over 100 years old. <sup>42</sup>

Pennsylvania was not exempt from the double-voter problem either, with 742 voters on record as having voted twice, <sup>43</sup> adding several hundred fraudulent ballots into the mix.

#### The Wisconsin Battleground

Figure Seven tabulates by category a total of over half a million potentially illegal votes in Wisconsin. This is more than 25 times the alleged Biden victory margin of 20,682 votes.

By far the largest category of potentially illegal votes is associated with alleged "bad-faith voters" who registered as "indefinitely confined" and thereby broke "Wisconsin election law to circumvent election integrity photo identification requirements."<sup>44</sup> These persons voted without showing a voter identification photo and therefore underwent a far less rigorous I.D. check than would otherwise have been conducted. (Wisconsin voters who had registered under "indefinitely confined" status were also seen attending weddings, riding their bikes, going on vacation, and otherwise not confined.) <sup>45</sup>

In the wake of the expanded definition of indefinitely confined voters – a definition ruled legally incorrect by the Wisconsin Supreme Court – the number of indefinitely confined voters surged from just under 70,000 voters in 2019 to over 200,000 in 2020. <sup>46</sup> Through this one problematic dimension, the integrity of 216,000 Wisconsin votes were compromised in the 2020 General Election. <sup>47</sup>

In addition, as illustrated in *Figure Seven*, 17,271 ballots were cast at 200 illegal polling places through "Democracy in the Park" events, <sup>48</sup> in direct violation of Wisconsin state law. These polling locations provided witnesses for absentee ballots and acted in every way like legal polling places. Moreover, many received ballots outside of the limited 14-day period preceding an election that is authorized by statute for in-person or absentee balloting. These were clear violations of state law. City of Madison officials facilitated the event which was broadcasted by Biden radio advertisements. <sup>49</sup>

Figure Seven

Vote Irregularities and Illegalities		
CATEGORY	WISCONSIN	
Absentee ballots cast without statutorily required application	170,140	
Double voters: In-state	234	
Illegal ballot harvesting	17,271	
Indefinitely confined voter abuses	216,000	
Out-of-state voters who voted in-state	6,848	
Voting machine irregularities (fake/manufactured ballots & spikes)	143,379	
Possible Illegal Votes	553,872	
Biden "Victory" Margin	20,682	
Margin of Error > Margin of Victory	26x	

Finally, as noted in the figure, there were 6,848 voters registered in other states who voted in the state of Wisconsin—ascertained by comparing all states' voter databases with the National Change of Address (NCOA) database. So Also, 234 individuals were documented as having voted twice in Wisconsin. Moreover, a whopping 170,000 in-person absentee ballots were cast without the submission of a legally required absentee ballot application. So

# **Concluding Remarks**

Based on this third and final volume of *The Navarro Report*, and as *Figure Eight* demonstrates, it should be clear that there are far more questions raised about the potential illegality of the 2020 presidential election than have been answered. Clearly, the case, evidence, and statistical receipts presented in this report provide a strong case that the 2020 election may well have been stolen not just from President Trump but also from the 74 million Americans who went to the ballot box in good faith in support of President Trump.

Figure Eight

Thorough Investigation Is Essential		
Possible Illegal Votes	3,069,002	
Biden "Victory" Margin	312,992	
Margin of Error > Margin of Victory	10x	

In light of this evidence, it is impossible for anyone to claim that President Trump was in any way wrong in stoutly raising the question of election fraud and irregularities in the weeks following the November 3 election and in calling for his supporters to PEACEFULLY protest. Indeed, for the president not to rise to defend the integrity of the ballot box would have been a betrayal of the 74 million Americans who voted for the president thinking they were participating in what may well not have been a free and fair election.

In light of this evidence, it is also irresponsible – in the extreme – for the Democrat Party and its leadership, or journalists in the mainstream media, or RINO Republicans to claim there is <u>no</u> evidence of election irregularities. That's absurd on its face. As this report shows, there is an abundance of evidence – a virtual cornucopia of potentially poisonous election irregularities.

In light of this evidence, this must also be said: Those American citizens who are now questioning the potential illegality of votes cast in the 2020 election should NOT be subjected by cable news networks, social media platforms, or the print media to the kind of abhorrent behaviors that we are now observing – social and political behaviors that are far more worthy of Communist China authoritarianism than American democracy.

From public shaming to de-platforming, doxing, and public calls to punish and shun all those who have supported the president or worked in his administration, these types of behaviors are not the American way. Rather, this is Orwell, Kafka, and Xi Jinping all rolled up into the death of the First Amendment and the death knell of our democracy.

Based on the analysis and the granular, documented quantities of illegal votes in this report, the only thing that must happen now as we engage in a peaceful transition of power is a FULL investigation of this matter.

- The Department of Justice should immediately appoint a Special Counsel BEFORE the Biden administration begins.
- State legislators and Attorneys Generals in the battleground states, particularly Republican states, must launch similar investigations.

Absent a full investigation, we as a nation run the risk of institutionalizing a rigged electoral system in which a large segment of America will no longer have faith in. That's why clearing the air about the 2020 presidential election is not just about Donald J. Trump but rather about something much larger and of far more import —the future of our election system, the public perception of that system, and ultimately the future of our free and democratic Republic.

### **Endnotes**

```
Trump. http://greatamericanrebirth.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Background-Briefing-on-2020-Fraud.pdf
<sup>2</sup> For example, in Pennsylvania, 3 out of every 4 absentee/mail-in ballots went to Joe Biden.
https://www.inquirer.com/politics/election/mail-ballots-pennsylvania-election-trump-biden-20201119.html
See, also: In Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 84% of absentee/mail-in ballots went to Joe Biden. https://www.tmj4.com/news/election-
2020/no-joe-biden-did-not-get-100-percent-of-all-milwaukee-absentee-ballots
<sup>3</sup> Garrison, Joey, "Democrats led early voting after Trump's attacks on mail-in ballots. Now Trump needs to dominate Election
Day," USA Today, https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/elections/2020/11/01/trump-needs-election-day-vote-biden-
democrats-dominate-early-voting/6085797002/
<sup>4</sup> Kelli Ward v. Constance Jackson et al, In the Superior Court of Arizona in and For the County of Maricopa, November 24,
2020. https://assets.documentcloud.org/documents/20417265/ward-v-jackson-complaint-and-petitionfor-discovery.pdf
<sup>5</sup> Stevenson et al v. Ducey et al, Superior Court of the State of Arizona and for the County of Maricopa, December 4, 2020.
https://www.democracydocket.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/2020/12/PetitionforElectionContest.pdf
<sup>6</sup> Background For Congressional Leaders, Voter Fraud Highlights for 2020 US Election, Attorneys for President Donald J.
Trump. http://greatamericanrebirth.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Background-Briefing-on-2020-Fraud.pdf
<sup>7</sup> Burk v. Ducey et al, Superior Court of the State of Arizona and for the County of Pinal, December 7, 2020.
https://www.democracydocket.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/2020/12/Burk-v-Ducev-complaint.pdf
<sup>8</sup> Stevenson et al. v. Ducey et al. Superior Court of the State of Arizona and for the County of Maricopa, December 4, 2020.
https://www.democracydocket.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/2020/12/PetitionforElectionContest.pdf
<sup>9</sup> Background For Congressional Leaders, Voter Fraud Highlights for 2020 US Election, December 21, 2020.
See, also: Arizona Rep Kelly Townsend, ALIPAC, November 13, 2020. https://www.israpundit.org/arizona-rep-kelly-townsend-
36473-az-voters-did-not-prove-citizenship/
<sup>10</sup> The Superior Court Of Fulton County State Of Georgia, Trump v. Raffensperger, December 4, 2020.
https://www.democracydocket.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/2020/12/Trump-v.-Raffensperger.pdf
<sup>11</sup> The Superior Court Of Fulton County State Of Georgia, Trump v. Raffensperger, December 4, 2020.
\underline{https://www.democracydocket.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/2020/12/Trump-v.-Raffensperger.pdf}
<sup>12</sup> Background For Congressional Leaders, Voter Fraud Highlights for 2020 US Election, Attorneys for President Donald J.
Trump. http://greatamericanrebirth.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Background-Briefing-on-2020-Fraud.pdf
<sup>13</sup> The Superior Court Of Fulton County State Of Georgia, Trump v. Raffensperger, December 4, 2020.
https://www.democracydocket.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/2020/12/Trump-v.-Raffensperger.pdf
<sup>14</sup> State of Texas v. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, State of Georgia, State of Michigan, State of Wisconsin, "Motion for
Leave to File Bill of Complaint," December 7, 2020.
https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/sites/default/files/images/admin/2020/Press/SCOTUSFiling.pdf
<sup>15</sup> Niesse, Marc, "Where are absentee ballot drop boxes in metro Atlanta?," AJC, October 7, 2020.
https://www.ajc.com/politics/where-are-absentee-ballot-drop-boxes-in-metroatlanta/YWWVPFUDFZF5ZB6JLH5S33UIEU/
<sup>16</sup> Fair Fight Action, "Election Systems And Software (ES&S) Corruption Doc," July 16, 2019. https://fairfight.com/wp-
content/uploads/2019/07/Election-Systems-and-Software-ESS-Corruption-07162019.pdf
<sup>17</sup> The Superior Court Of Fulton County State Of Georgia, Trump v. Raffensperger, December 4, 2020.
\underline{https://www.democracydocket.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/2020/12/Trump-v.-Raffensperger.pdf}
<sup>18</sup> Antrim Michigan Forensics Report, December 13, 2020. Allied Security Operations Group.
https://www.depernolaw.com/uploads/2/7/0/2/27029178/antrim_michigan_forensics_report_%5B121320%5D_v2_%5Bredacted
%5D.pdf See, also: "Michigan Judge Allows Release of Dominion Voting System Audit Demonstrating Astounding 68% Error
Rate in Vote Calculations, December" 14, 2020.
https://www.9and10news.com/content/uploads/2020/12/Bill Bailey Antrim County Press Release.pdf
<sup>19</sup> Voter Integrity Project, "Anomalies in Vote Counts and Their Effects on Election 2020," November 24, 2020.
https://votepatternanalysis.substack.com/p/voting-anomalies-2020
<sup>20</sup> State of Texas v. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, State of Georgia, State of Michigan, State of Wisconsin, "Motion for
Leave to File Bill of Complaint," December 7, 2020.
https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/sites/default/files/images/admin/2020/Press/SCOTUSFiling.pdf
<sup>21</sup> State of Texas v. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, State of Georgia, State of Michigan, State of Wisconsin, "Motion for
Leave to File Bill of Complaint," December 7, 2020.
https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/sites/default/files/images/admin/2020/Press/SCOTUSFiling.pdf
<sup>22</sup> Michigan Voter Fraud, Deceased Voters, https://checkyourvote.org/deceased-voters/michigan
<sup>23</sup>State of Texas v. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, State of Georgia, State of Michigan, State of Wisconsin, "Motion for
Leave to File Bill of Complaint," December 7, 2020.
```

https://www.texasattornevgeneral.gov/sites/default/files/images/admin/2020/Press/SCOTUSFiling.pdf

 $\underline{https://www.texas attorneygeneral.gov/sites/default/files/images/admin/2020/Press/SCOTUSFiling.pdf}$ 

Leave to File Bill of Complaint," December 7, 2020.

<sup>24</sup>State of Texas v. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, State of Georgia, State of Michigan, State of Wisconsin, "Motion for

<sup>1</sup> Background For Congressional Leaders, Voter Fraud Highlights for 2020 US Election, Attorneys for President Donald J.

```
<sup>25</sup> In the First Judicial District Court Carson City, Nevada Jesse Law v. Judith Whitmer, November 17, 2020. 
https://www.democracydocket.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/2020/11/nov-17-doc-2.pdf
```

<sup>26</sup> In the First Judicial District Court Carson City, Nevada Jesse Law v. Judith Whitmer, November 17, 2020. https://www.democracydocket.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/2020/11/nov-17-doc-2.pdf

<sup>27</sup> Witness Statement of Jesse Binnall, Senate Homeland Security and Government Affairs Committee, December 16, 2020. https://www.hsgac.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Testimony-Binnall-2020-12-16.pdf

<sup>28</sup> Ansolabehere, Stephen, and Hersch, Eitan. "ADGN: An Algorithm for Record Linkage Using Address, Date of Birth, Gender, and Name," *Statistics and Public Policy*, November 30, 2017.

https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/2330443X.2017.1389620

<sup>29</sup> "Out of State Voters," Nevada Republican Party, November 15, 2020. https://nevadagop.org/out-of-state-voters/

<sup>30</sup> Witness Statement of Jesse Binnall, Senate Homeland Security and Government Affairs Committee, December 16, 2020. https://www.hsgac.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Testimony-Binnall-2020-12-16.pdf

<sup>31</sup> Witness Statement of Jesse Binnall, Senate Homeland Security and Government Affairs Committee, December 16, 2020. https://www.hsgac.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Testimony-Binnall-2020-12-16.pdf

<sup>32</sup> Witness Statement of Jesse Binnall, Senate Homeland Security and Government Affairs Committee, December 16, 2020. https://www.hsgac.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Testimony-Binnall-2020-12-16.pdf

<sup>33</sup> The United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, "Donald J. Trump for President et al v. Kathy Boockvar et al," 18 November 2020.

https://www.courtlistener.com/recap/gov.uscourts.pamd.127057/gov.uscourts.pamd.127057.169.0.pdf

<sup>34</sup> "Appeal of: City of Philadelphia Board of Elections," Superior Court of Pennsylvania, Eastern District, November 17, 2020. http://www.pacourts.us/assets/opinions/Supreme/out/J-116-2020mo%20-%20104608159120049033.pdf

<sup>35</sup> Navarro, Peter, "The Immaculate Deception," December 17, 2020. <a href="https://populist.press/wpcontent/uploads/2020/12/The-Immaculate-Deception-12.15.20-1.pdf">https://populist.press/wpcontent/uploads/2020/12/The-Immaculate-Deception-12.15.20-1.pdf</a>

<sup>36</sup> PA Lawmakers: Numbers Don't Add Up, Certification of Presidential Results Premature and In Error, December 28, 2020. <a href="http://www.repdiamond.com/News/18754/Latest-News/PA-Lawmakers-Numbers-Don%E2%80%99t-Add-Up,-Certification-of-Presidential-Results-Premature-and-In-Error">http://www.repdiamond.com/News/18754/Latest-News/PA-Lawmakers-Numbers-Don%E2%80%99t-Add-Up,-Certification-of-Presidential-Results-Premature-and-In-Error</a>

<sup>37</sup> State of Texas v. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, State of Georgia, State of Michigan, State of Wisconsin, "Motion for Leave to File Bill of Complaint," December 7, 2020.

https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/sites/default/files/images/admin/2020/Press/SCOTUSFiling.pdf

<sup>38</sup> State of Texas v. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, State of Georgia, State of Michigan, State of Wisconsin, "Motion for Leave to File Bill of Complaint," December 7, 2020.

https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/sites/default/files/images/admin/2020/Press/SCOTUSFiling.pdf

<sup>39</sup> WVA v. Pence, in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, December 22, 2020.

https://www.democracydocket.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/2020/12/DC-WVA-20201222-complaint.pdf

<sup>40</sup> "Declaration of Professor of Mathematics, Dr. Steven J Miller, Ph.D.,"

https://www.supremecourt.gov/DocketPDF/22/22O155/163392/20201214094921641\_Appendix%20section%209.pdf

<sup>41</sup> Background For Congressional Leaders, *Voter Fraud Highlights for 2020 US Election*, Attorneys for President Donald J. Trump. http://greatamericanrebirth.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Background-Briefing-on-2020-Fraud.pdf

<sup>42</sup> Background For Congressional Leaders, *Voter Fraud Highlights for 2020 US Election*, Attorneys for President Donald J. Trump. <a href="http://greatamericanrebirth.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Background-Briefing-on-2020-Fraud.pdf">http://greatamericanrebirth.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Background-Briefing-on-2020-Fraud.pdf</a>

<sup>43</sup> WVA v. Pence, in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, December 22, 2020.

https://www.democracydocket.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/2020/12/DC-WVA-20201222-complaint.pdf

<sup>44</sup> Navarro, Peter, "The Immaculate Deception," December 17, 2020. <a href="https://populist.press/wpcontent/uploads/2020/12/The-Immaculate-Deception-12.15.20-1.pdf">https://populist.press/wpcontent/uploads/2020/12/The-Immaculate-Deception-12.15.20-1.pdf</a>

<sup>45</sup> Navarro, Peter, "The Immaculate Deception," December 17, 2020. <a href="https://populist.press/wpcontent/uploads/2020/12/The-Immaculate-Deception-12.15.20-1.pdf">https://populist.press/wpcontent/uploads/2020/12/The-Immaculate-Deception-12.15.20-1.pdf</a>

<sup>46</sup> Navarro, Peter, "The Immaculate Deception," December 17, 2020. <a href="https://populist.press/wpcontent/uploads/2020/12/The-Immaculate-Deception-12.15.20-1.pdf">https://populist.press/wpcontent/uploads/2020/12/The-Immaculate-Deception-12.15.20-1.pdf</a>

<sup>47</sup> State of Texas v. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, State of Georgia, State of Michigan, State of Wisconsin, "Motion for Leave to File Bill of Complaint," December 7, 2020.

 $\underline{https://www.texas attorneygeneral.gov/sites/default/files/images/admin/2020/Press/SCOTUSFiling.pdf}$ 

<sup>48</sup> Donald J. Trump for President et al v. Evers et al, In the Supreme Court of Wisconsin, December 1, 2020. https://www.wrn.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/2020AP1971-Pet-for-Orig-Action-12-1-20.pdf

<sup>49</sup> Donald J. Trump for President et al v. Evers et al, In the Supreme Court of Wisconsin, December 1, 2020. https://www.wrn.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/2020AP1971-Pet-for-Orig-Action-12-1-20.pdf

<sup>50</sup> "Declaration of Professor of Mathematics, Dr. Steven J Miller, Ph.D.,"

https://www.supremecourt.gov/DocketPDF/22/22O155/163392/20201214094921641 Appendix%20section%209.pdf

<sup>51</sup> WVA v. Pence, in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, December 22, 2020.

https://www.democracydocket.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/2020/12/DC-WVA-20201222-complaint.pdf <sup>52</sup> Donald J. Trump for President et al v. Evers et al, In the Supreme Court of Wisconsin, December 1, 2020.

https://www.wrn.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/2020AP1971-Pet-for-Orig-Action-12-1-20.pdf

\*Cover photo: © Reuters by Kevin Lamarque